

OPTIMAL TRANSPORTATION AND MONOTONIC QUANTITIES ON EVOLVING MANIFOLDS

HONG HUANG

ABSTRACT. In this note we will adapt Topping's $\mathcal{L}$ -optimal transportation theory for Ricci flow to a more general situation, i.e. to a closed manifold $(M, g_{ij}(t))$ evolving by $\partial_t g_{ij} = -2S_{ij}$, where S_{ij} is a symmetric tensor field of (2,0)-type on M . We extend some recent results of Topping, Lott and Brendle, generalize the monotonicity of List's (and hence also of Perelman's) $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy, and recover the monotonicity of Müller's (and hence also of Perelman's) reduced volume.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since Monge introduced the optimal transportation problem, many beautiful works have been done, in particular in the last several decades. For an extensive discussion see Villani [V]. Recently, Topping, Lott, Brendle and some other authors considered this problem on a manifold evolving according to Hamilton's Ricci flow, see [T], [Lo], [B] and the reference therein. In [T] Topping introduced $\mathcal{L}$ -optimal transportation for Ricci flow. He studied the behavior of Boltzmann-Shannon entropy along $\mathcal{L}$ -Wasserstein geodesic, and obtained natural monotonic quantity from which the monotonicity of Perelman's $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy was recovered among other things. Lott [Lo] showed the convexity of a certain entropy-like function using Topping's work [T], as a result, he could reprove the monotonicity of Perelman's reduced volume. In [B] Brendle proved a Prékopa-Leindler-type inequality for Ricci flow using [T], from which he could also recover the monotonicity of Perelman's reduced volume.

On the other hand, List [Li] considered an extended Ricci flow in his thesis, and he generalized the monotonicity of Perelman's $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy to his flow. Müller [M] studied more general evolving closed manifolds $(M, g_{ij}(t))$ with the metrics $g_{ij}(t)$ satisfying the equation

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2S_{ij}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $S = (S_{ij})$ is a symmetric tensor field of (2,0)-type on M . He generalized the monotonicity of Perelman's reduced volume to this flow satisfying a certain constraint condition which will be stated later; see [M, Theorem 1.4].

In this note we will adapt Topping's $\mathcal{L}$ -optimal transportation theory for Ricci flow to the general flow (1.1). We obtain some analogs of results of Topping, Lott and Brendle mentioned above, and using this we can generalize the monotonicity

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C44.

Key words and phrases. optimal transportation, $\mathcal{L}$ -length, Boltzmann-Shannon entropy, evolving manifolds.

Partially supported by NSFC no.10671018.

of List's (and hence also of Perelman's) $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy, and recover the monotonicity of Müller's (and hence also of Perelman's) reduced volume.

Now we consider the flow (1.1) backwards in time. Let τ be some backward time parameter (i.e. $\tau = C - t$ for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$). Consider the reverse flow

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial \tau} = 2S_{ij}(\tau), \quad (1.2)$$

defined on a time interval including $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ (with $0 \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2$). Following Perelman [P] and Müller [M], we define the $\mathcal{L}$ -length of a curve $\gamma : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow M$ by $\mathcal{L}(\gamma) := \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \sqrt{\tau} (S(\gamma(\tau), \tau) + |\gamma'(\tau)|_{g(\tau)}^2) d\tau$,

where S is the trace of $\mathcal{S}$ (w.r.t. $g(\tau)$). Then we define the $\mathcal{L}$ -distance by

$$Q(x, \tau_1; y, \tau_2) := \inf \{ \mathcal{L}(\gamma) \mid \gamma : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow M \text{ is smooth and } \gamma(\tau_1) = x, \gamma(\tau_2) = y \}.$$

Given two Borel probability measures ν_1, ν_2 viewed at times τ_1 and τ_2 respectively, following [T] we define the $\mathcal{L}$ -Wasserstein distance by

$$V(\nu_1, \tau_1; \nu_2, \tau_2) := \inf \{ \int_{M \times M} Q(x, \tau_1; y, \tau_2) d\pi(x, y) \mid \pi \in \Gamma(\nu_1, \nu_2) \}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Gamma(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ is the space of Borel probability measures on $M \times M$ with marginals ν_1 and ν_2 .

To state our theorems we need to introduce a quantity in [M]. Let $g(\tau)$ evolve by (1.2), and let $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ be a vector field on M . Set

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X) := -\partial_\tau S - \Delta S - 2|S_{ij}|^2 + 4(\nabla_i S_{ij})X_j - 2(\nabla_j S)X_j + 2R_{ij}X_iX_j - 2S_{ij}X_iX_j.$$

Our first result generalizes [T, Theorem 1.1] and a result of von Renesse and Sturm [vRS]. As in [T] we refer to a family of smooth probability measures $\nu(\tau)$ on M as a diffusion if the density $u(\tau)$ relative to the Riemannian volume measure $\mu(\tau)$ of $g(\tau)$ (i.e. $d\nu(\tau) = u(\tau)d\mu(\tau)$) satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \Delta u - Su. \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 1.1 Given $0 < \bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2$, suppose that $(M, g(\tau))$ is a closed, n -dimensional manifold evolving by (1.2), for τ in some open interval containing $[\bar{\tau}_1, \bar{\tau}_2]$, such that the quantity $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$ is nonnegative for all vector fields $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and all times for which the flow exists. Let $\nu_1(\tau)$ and $\nu_2(\tau)$ be two diffusions (as defined above) for τ in some neighbourhoods of $\bar{\tau}_1$ and $\bar{\tau}_2$ respectively. Set $\tau_1 = \tau_1(s) := \bar{\tau}_1 e^s$, $\tau_2 = \tau_2(s) := \bar{\tau}_2 e^s$, and define the renormalized $\mathcal{L}$ -Wasserstein distance by

$$\Theta(s) := 2(\sqrt{\tau_2} - \sqrt{\tau_1})V(\nu_1(\tau_1), \tau_1; \nu_2(\tau_2), \tau_2) - 2n(\sqrt{\tau_2} - \sqrt{\tau_1})^2$$

for s in a neighbourhood of 0 such that $\nu_i(\tau_i(s))$ are defined ($i = 1, 2$).

Then $\Theta(s)$ is a weakly decreasing function of s .

The constraint condition on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$ in Theorem 1.1 is the same as that appeared in [M, Theorem 1.4] mentioned above. As pointed out in [M], it is satisfied, for example, by the static manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, by Hamilton's Ricci flow, by List's flow ([Li]), by the Ricci flow coupled with harmonic map heat flow introduced by Müller in his thesis (cf. [M]), and by mean curvature flow in an ambient Lorentzian manifold with nonnegative sectional curvature.

Our second result generalizes [Lo, Theorem 1].

Theorem 1.2 Given $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2$, suppose that $(M, g(\tau))$ is a connected closed manifold evolving by (1.2), for τ in some open interval including $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$, such that the quantity $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$ is nonnegative for all vector fields $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and all times

for which the flow exists. Let $\mathcal{V}_\tau (\tau \in [\tau_1, \tau_2])$ be an $\mathcal{L}$ -Wasserstein geodesic, induced by a potential $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $\mathcal{V}_{\tau_1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\tau_2}$ both absolutely continuous probability measures. Set $\phi(y, \tau) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}} \inf_{x \in M} [Q(x, \tau_1; y, \tau) - \varphi(x)]$ for $y \in M$ and $\tau \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$. Then $E(\mathcal{V}_\tau) + \int_M \phi(\cdot, \tau) d\mathcal{V}_\tau + \frac{n}{2} \ln \tau$ is convex in the variable $\tau^{-1/2}$.

For the definition of $\mathcal{L}$ -Wasserstein geodesic see the paragraph following Theorem 2.14 in [T], cf. also the paragraph following our Theorem 2.1. Also note that here $E(\mathcal{V}_\tau)$ is the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy of $\mathcal{V}_\tau$ (cf. Section 2).

Our third theorem generalizes [B, Theorem 2] and a result in [CMS]. Note that we do not assume that M is compact in this theorem.

Theorem 1.3 Given $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2$, suppose that $(M, g(\tau))$ is a complete manifold evolving by (1.2), for τ in some open interval including $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$, with the sectional curvature and S_{ij} uniformly bounded in compact time intervals, and such that the quantity $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$ is nonnegative for all vector fields $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and all times for which the flow exists. Fix $\bar{\tau} \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$, and write

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\tau}}} = \frac{1-\lambda}{\sqrt{\tau_1}} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\tau_2}},$$

for some $0 < \lambda < 1$. Let $u_1, u_2, v : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be nonnegative measurable functions such that

$$\left(\frac{\bar{\tau}}{\tau_1 - \lambda \tau_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} v(\gamma(\bar{\tau})) \geq \exp\left(-\frac{1-\lambda}{2\sqrt{\tau_1}} Q(\gamma(\tau_1), \tau_1; \gamma(\bar{\tau}), \bar{\tau})\right) u_1(\gamma(\tau_1))^{1-\lambda} \\ \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\tau_2}} Q(\gamma(\bar{\tau}), \bar{\tau}; \gamma(\tau_2), \tau_2)\right) u_2(\gamma(\tau_2))^\lambda$$

for each minimizing $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic $\gamma : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow M$. Then

$$\int_M v d\mu(\bar{\tau}) \geq \left(\int_M u_1 d\mu(\tau_1)\right)^{1-\lambda} \left(\int_M u_2 d\mu(\tau_2)\right)^\lambda.$$

In Section 2 we give the proof of our theorems which relies heavily on Topping [T]. In Section 3 we give some applications of our theorems (following Topping and Brendle).

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS

Part of Topping's $\mathcal{L}$ -optimal transportation theory for Ricci flow [T] extends to the general flow (1.1) without any change. In particular, virtually all theorems in [T, Section 2] hold in our more general situation. We just state the following

Theorem 2.1 (cf. [T, Section 2, in particular Theorem 2.14]) Given $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2$, suppose that $(M, g(\tau))$ is a closed manifold evolving by (1.2), for τ in some open interval including $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$. Suppose that ν_1 and ν_2 are absolutely continuous probability measures (w.r.t. (any) volume measure). Then there exists an optimal transference plan π in (1.3) which is given by the push-forward of ν_1 under the map $x \mapsto (x, F(x))$, where $F : M \rightarrow M$ is a Borel map defined by

$$F(x) := \mathcal{L}_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \exp_x\left(-\frac{\nabla \varphi(x)}{2}\right), \quad (2.1)$$

at points of differentiability of some reflexive function $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where the gradient is w.r.t. $g(\tau_1)$.

Moreover, there exists a Borel set $K \subset M$ with $\nu_1(K) = 1$, such that for each $x \in K$, φ admits a Hessian at x , and

$$f_{\tau_1}(x) = f_{\tau_2}(F(x)) \det(dF)_x \neq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where f_{τ_i} is the densities defined by $d\nu_i = f_{\tau_i} d\mu(\tau_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

As in [T], we refer to $\mathcal{V}_\tau := (F_\tau)_\#(\nu_1)$ as an $\mathcal{L}$ -Wasserstein geodesic, where $F_\tau : M \rightarrow M$ is a Borel map defined by

$$F_\tau(x) := \mathcal{L}_{\tau_1, \tau} \exp_x \left(-\frac{\nabla \varphi(x)}{2} \right)$$

at points of differentiability of φ (as in the above theorem) for $\tau \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$.

Remark 2.2 Theorem 2.1 extends to noncompact case with suitable modifications. More precisely, when M is noncompact, one imposes in addition the conditions that S_{ij} is uniformly bounded (in compact time intervals) and that $V(\nu_1, \tau_1; \nu_2, \tau_2)$ is finite, then the results in Theorem 2.1 still hold with the gradient in (2.1) and the differential in (2.2) replaced by an approximate gradient and an approximate differential respectively, and Hessian replaced by approximate Hessian. (Of course, φ need not be reflexive any more.) For more details, one can consult [FF], [F] and [V]. Moreover, in noncompact case, even if one does not impose the finiteness condition on $V(\nu_1, \tau_1; \nu_2, \tau_2)$, one can still say something, cf. [F] and [V].

Note that Müller [M] has established some properties of $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesics and L -function in our situation.

As in [M], we introduce

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X) := -\partial_\tau S - \frac{1}{\tau} S - 2X(S) + 2\mathcal{S}(X, X).$$

The following lemma generalizes [T, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 2.3 Let $\gamma : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow M$ be an $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic, and $\{Y_i(\tau)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be a set of $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi fields along γ which form a basis of $T_{\gamma(\tau)}M$ for each $\tau \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$, with $\{Y_i(\tau_1)\}$ orthonormal and $\langle D_\tau Y_i, Y_j \rangle$ symmetric in i and j at $\tau = \tau_1$. Define $\alpha : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\alpha(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \det \langle Y_i(\tau), Y_j(\tau) \rangle_{g(\tau)}$, and write $\sigma = \sqrt{\tau}$, then we have

$$\frac{d^2 \alpha}{d\sigma^2} = 4\sqrt{\tau} \frac{d}{d\tau} (\sqrt{\tau} \frac{d\alpha}{d\tau}) \geq 2\tau(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)),$$

and

$$\frac{d^2(\sigma\alpha)}{d\sigma^2} = 4\frac{d}{d\tau} (\tau^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d\alpha}{d\tau}) \geq 2\tau^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)) - n\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $X = \gamma'(\tau)$.

Proof The proof follows closely that of Topping [T, Lemma 3.1] with some necessary modifications. From the $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic equation in [M] we can derive the $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi equation for $Y(\tau)$

$$D_\tau^2 Y := D_\tau(D_\tau Y) = -R(X, Y)X + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_Y(\nabla S) - \nabla_Y \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(X) - 2\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(D_\tau Y) - \frac{1}{2\tau} D_\tau Y + \nabla_X \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(Y) - [\nabla \mathcal{S}(\cdot, X, Y)]^\sharp.$$

Here $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is $\mathcal{S}$ viewed as an endomorphism (i.e. a $(1,1)$ -tensor), other conventions are from [T].

Consider the solution $e_i \in \Gamma(\gamma^*(TM))$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, of the ODE

$$D_\tau e_i + \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(e_i) = 0,$$

with initial condition $e_i(\tau_1) := Y_i(\tau_1)$. Write $Y_j(\tau) = A_{kj} e_k(\tau)$ for a τ -dependent $n \times n$ matrix A . Then we have

$$A'_{ij} = \langle D_\tau Y_j, e_i \rangle + A_{kj} \mathcal{S}(e_k, e_i),$$

and

$$A''_{ij} = \langle D_\tau^2 Y_j, e_i \rangle + 2A'_{kj} \mathcal{S}(e_k, e_i) + A_{kj} \langle D_\tau(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(e_k)), e_i \rangle.$$

Using the $\mathcal{L}$ -Jacobi equation we get that

$$\langle D_\tau^2 Y_j, e_i \rangle = A_{kj} [-Rm(X, e_k, X, e_i) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Hess}(S)(e_i, e_k) + \nabla_X \mathcal{S}(e_i, e_k) - \langle \nabla_{e_k} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(X), e_i \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(X), e_k \rangle + 2 \langle \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^2(e_k), e_i \rangle + \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathcal{S}(e_i, e_k)] - 2A'_{kj} \mathcal{S}(e_k, e_i) - \frac{1}{2\tau} A'_{ij}.$$

We also have

$$\langle D_\tau(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(e_k)), e_i \rangle = \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \tau}(e_i, e_k) + \nabla_X \mathcal{S}(e_i, e_k) - 3 \langle \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^2(e_k), e_i \rangle.$$

Then we get that

$$A'' + \frac{1}{2\tau} A' = MA,$$

where M is the τ -dependent $n \times n$ symmetric matrix given by

$$M_{ik} = -Rm(X, e_k, X, e_i) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Hess}(S)(e_i, e_k) + 2 \nabla_X \mathcal{S}(e_i, e_k) - \langle \nabla_{e_k} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(X), e_i \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(X), e_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathcal{S}(e_i, e_k) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial \tau}(e_i, e_k).$$

Using [M, Lemma 1.6], we see that the trace of M is

$$\text{tr} M = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)).$$

Now define $B := \frac{dA}{d\tau} A^{-1}$, then similarly as in [T], we have

$$\tau^{-1/2} \frac{d}{d\tau} (\sqrt{\tau} \frac{d\alpha}{d\tau}) = \text{tr} B^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)),$$

and

$$\tau^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d}{d\tau} (\tau^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d\alpha}{d\tau}) = \text{tr} (B - \frac{1}{2\tau} I)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)) - \frac{n}{4\tau^2}.$$

Similarly as in [T], one can show that B is symmetric, and our result follows.

Now we begin to study the behavior of Boltzmann-Shannon entropy along a $\mathcal{L}$ -Wasserstein geodesic. Recall that the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy of a probability measure $f d\mu$ is defined by

$$E(f d\mu) = \int_M f \ln f d\mu,$$

where μ is Riemannian volume measure, and f is a reasonably regular weakly positive function on M . As before we set $\sigma = \sqrt{\tau}$. Then we have the following lemma which generalizes [T, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 2.4 Let $(M, g(\tau))$ be as in Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{V}_\tau (\tau \in [\tau_1, \tau_2])$ be an $\mathcal{L}$ -Wasserstein geodesic, induced by a potential $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $\mathcal{V}_{\tau_1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\tau_2}$ both absolutely continuous probability measures, and write $d\mathcal{V}_\tau = f_\tau d\mu(\tau)$ where $\mu(\tau)$ is the volume measure of $g(\tau)$. Then for all $\tau \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$, we have $f_\tau \in L_{\text{loc}} L(\mu(\tau))$, and the function $E(\mathcal{V}_\tau)$ is semiconvex in τ and satisfies, for almost all $\tau \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$ (where $\sigma \mapsto E(\mathcal{V}_\tau)$ admits a second derivative in the sense of Alexandrov)

$$\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2} E(\mathcal{V}_\tau) = 4\sqrt{\tau} \frac{d}{d\tau} (\sqrt{\tau} \frac{dE(\mathcal{V}_\tau)}{d\tau}) \geq 2\tau \int_M (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X(\tau)) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X(\tau))) d\mathcal{V}_{\tau_1},$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2} (\sigma E(\mathcal{V}_\tau)) = 4 \frac{d}{d\tau} (\tau^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{dE(\mathcal{V}_\tau)}{d\tau}) \geq 2\tau^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_M (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X(\tau)) + \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X(\tau))) d\mathcal{V}_{\tau_1} - n\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $X(\tau)$, at a point $x \in M$ where φ admits a Hessian, is $\gamma'(\tau)$, for $\gamma : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow M$ the minimizing $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic from x to $F(x)$. Moreover, the one-sided derivatives of $E(\mathcal{V}_\tau)$ at τ_1 and τ_2 exist, with

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} |_{\tau_1} E(\mathcal{V}_\tau) \geq - \int_M (S(\cdot, \tau_1) + \langle \frac{\nabla \varphi}{2\sqrt{\tau_1}}, \nabla \ln f_{\tau_1} \rangle_{g(\tau_1)}) d\mathcal{V}_{\tau_1}.$$

Proof Using Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 one can proceed exactly as in [T].

Now suppose $g(\tau)$ is defined on $(\hat{\tau}_1, \hat{\tau}_2) \supset [\tau_1, \tau_2]$, where $\hat{\tau}_1 > 0$. As in [T], let $\Upsilon := \{(x, \tau_a; y, \tau_b) | x, y \in M \text{ and } \hat{\tau}_1 < \tau_a < \tau_b < \hat{\tau}_2\}$. Suppose $(x, \tau_1; y, \tau_2) \in \Upsilon \setminus \mathcal{LCut}$, let $\gamma : [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow M$ be the minimizing $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic from x to y , and write $X(\tau) = \gamma'(\tau)$ as before. Following [P], [T] and [M], define

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(x, \tau_1, y, \tau_2) := \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tau^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Then we have the following result which generalizes [T, Corollary 3.3].

Corollary 2.5 Let the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4 still hold, and assume further that the quantity $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$ is nonnegative for all vector fields $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and all times for which the flow exists. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{M \times M} (\mathcal{K} - 2\tau_1^{3/2} S(x, \tau_1) - \tau_1 \langle \nabla_1 Q, \nabla \ln f_{\tau_1}(x) \rangle_{g(\tau_1)} + 2\tau_2^{3/2} S(y, \tau_2) \\ & - \tau_2 \langle \nabla_2 Q, \nabla \ln f_{\tau_2}(y) \rangle_{g(\tau_2)}) d\pi(x, y) \\ & \leq n(\sqrt{\tau_2} - \sqrt{\tau_1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla_1 Q$ denotes the gradient of Q w.r.t. its x argument and w.r.t. $g(\tau_1)$, $\nabla_2 Q$ denotes the gradient of Q w.r.t. its y argument and w.r.t. $g(\tau_2)$, and π is the optimal transference plan from $\mathcal{V}_{\tau_1}$ to $\mathcal{V}_{\tau_2}$ (for $\mathcal{L}$ -optimal transportation).

The following result generalizes [T, Lemma A.6].

Lemma 2.6 Under the flow (1.2), we have

$$\tau_2 \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \tau_2} + \tau_1 \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \tau_1} = 2\tau_2^{\frac{3}{2}} S(y, \tau_2) - 2\tau_1^{\frac{3}{2}} S(x, \tau_1) + \mathcal{K} - \frac{1}{2} Q.$$

Proof Similarly as [T, (A.4) and (A.5)], we have

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \tau_1}(x, \tau_1; y, \tau_2) = \sqrt{\tau_1}(|X(\tau_1)|^2 - S(x, \tau_1)); \quad \nabla_1 Q(x, \tau_1; y, \tau_2) = -2\sqrt{\tau_1} X(\tau_1),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \tau_2}(x, \tau_1; y, \tau_2) = \sqrt{\tau_2}(S(y, \tau_2)) - |X(\tau_2)|^2; \quad \nabla_2 Q(x, \tau_1; y, \tau_2) = 2\sqrt{\tau_2} X(\tau_2).$$

Similarly as [T, (A.9)], we have

$$\tau_2^{\frac{3}{2}}(S(y, \tau_2) + |X(\tau_2)|^2) - \tau_1^{\frac{3}{2}}(S(x, \tau_1) + |X(\tau_1)|^2) = -\mathcal{K}(x, \tau_1, y, \tau_2) + \frac{1}{2} Q(x, \tau_1; y, \tau_2).$$

(cf. also [M].)

Then the lemma follows.

Finally, Theorem 1.1 follows from Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 (cf. [T, Section 4]).

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we follow closely [Lo].

From [T, Lemma 2.4] we can derive

$$\tau^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d}{d\tau} \phi(\gamma(\tau)) = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\tau} \phi(\gamma(\tau)) + \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\frac{3}{2}} (S(\gamma(\tau), \tau) + |X(\tau)|^2).$$

From [M] we have

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} (S(\gamma(\tau), \tau) + |X(\tau)|^2) = -\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X) - \frac{1}{\tau} (S(\gamma(\tau), \tau) + |X(\tau)|^2).$$

It follows that

$$(\tau^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d}{d\tau})^2 \phi(\gamma(\tau)) = -\frac{1}{2} \tau^3 \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, X).$$

Combining with the condition $\mathcal{V}_\tau = (F_\tau)_\#(\nu_1)$, the equation above implies

$$(\tau^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d}{d\tau})^2 \int_M \phi(\tau) d\mathcal{V}_\tau = -\frac{1}{2} \tau^3 \int_M \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S}, \nabla \phi(\tau)) d\mathcal{V}_\tau.$$

Combining the equation above with Lemma 2.4 and the assumption on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$, we get Theorem 1.2.

To prove Theorem 1.3, it suffices to prove the case that u_1, u_2 have compact support, since then the general case will follow by an approximate technique as in [CMS]. Now one proceeds as in [B]. A key step is to prove that under our assumption on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$, one has

$$\tau^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[\tau^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{n}{2} \ln \tau + \frac{1}{2} \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q(x, \tau_1; F_\tau(x), \tau) - \ln \det (dF_\tau)_x \right) \right] \geq 0$$

as in [B]. This can be proved by using Lemma 2.3, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

3. SOME APPLICATIONS

For closed manifold $(M, g(\tau))$ evolving by (1.2) and a solution u of (1.4) we introduced the $\mathcal{W}$ -entropy as in [P], [Li],

$$\mathcal{W} := \int_M [\tau(S + |\nabla f|^2) + f - n](4\pi\tau)^{-n/2} e^{-f} d\mu,$$

where f is defined by $u = (4\pi\tau)^{-n/2} e^{-f}$.

Then we have the following

Theorem 3.1 Assume that the quantity $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$ is nonnegative for all vector fields $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and all times for which the flow exists. Then $\frac{d\mathcal{W}}{d\tau} \leq 0$.

Proof Theorem 3.1 follows easily from Theorem 1.1 and a result which generalizes [T, Lemma 1.3] (with S replacing R in [T, (1.7)]) and whose proof is a minor modification of that of [T, Lemma 1.3].

Remark 3.2 Some special cases of Theorem 3.1 appeared in [P], [N] and [Li]. Of course, one can also prove Theorem 3.1 by a direct computation as in these references.

As in [T, Section 1.3], Theorem 1.1 also implies the monotonicity of the enlarged length which generalizes the corresponding result of Perelman [P]. More precisely, as in [P], consider $L(y, \tau) := Q(x, 0; y, \tau)$ for fixed $x \in M$ and $\bar{L}(y, \tau) := 2\sqrt{\tau}L(y, \tau)$. Then we have the following

Theorem 3.3 Assume that the quantity $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$ is nonnegative for all vector fields $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and all times for which the flow exists. Then the minimum over M of $\bar{L}(\cdot, \tau) - 2n\tau$ is a weakly decreasing function of τ .

The following theorem extends a theorem in [P], and also extends a theorem in [M] to the noncompact case.

Theorem 3.4 Suppose that $(M, g(\tau))$ is a complete manifold evolving by (1.2), with the sectional curvature and S_{ij} uniformly bounded in compact time intervals, and such that the quantity $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}, X)$ is nonnegative for all vector fields $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and all times for which the flow exists. Then the reduced volume (as defined in [P], [M]) is nonincreasing in τ .

Proof. This is a corollary of Theorem 1.3, cf. [B, Section 3].

Remark 3.5 Our $\mathcal{L}$ -length is the same as $\mathcal{L}_b$ -length in [M], and corresponds to $\mathcal{L}_-$ -length in [Lo]. One can also develop a parallel theory of $\mathcal{L}_f$ -(or $\mathcal{L}_{+-}$) and $\mathcal{L}_0$ -optimal transportation respectively as in [Lo].

Reference

- [B] S. Brendle, A Prékopa-Leindler-type inequality for Ricci flow, arXiv:0907.3726.
- [CMS] D. Cordero-Erausquin, R.J. McCann and M. Schmuckenschläger, A Riemannian interpolation inequality à la Borell, Brascamp and Lieb, Invent. Math. 146 (2001), 219-257.

- [FF] A. Fathi and A. Figalli, Optimal transportation on non-compact manifolds, arXiv:0711.4519, to appear in Israel J. Math.
- [F] A. Figalli, Existence, uniqueness and regularity of optimal transport maps, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 39 (2007), 126-137.
- [Li] B. List, Evolution of an extended Ricci flow system, Comm. Anal. Geom. 16 (2008), 1007-1048.
- [Lo] J. Lott, Optimal transport and Perelman's reduced volume, arXiv:0804.0343v2, to appear in Cal. Var. PDE.
- [M] R. Müller, Monotone volume formulas for geometric flows, arXiv:0905.2328, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.
- [N] L. Ni, The entropy formula for linear heat equation, J. Geom. Anal. 14 (2004), 85-98; Addenda, 14 (2004), 369-374.
- [P] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, arXiv:math.DG/0211159.
- [vRS] M.-K. von Renesse and K.-T. Sturm, Transport inequalities, gradient estimates, entropy and Ricci curvature, Comm. Pur Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 923-940.
- [T] P. Topping, $\mathcal{L}$ -optimal transportation for Ricci flow, available online at Topping's home page, and to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.
- [V] C. Villani, Optimal transport, old and new, Springer-Verlag, 2009.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: `hhuang@bnu.edu.cn`